



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,636	12/20/2000	Vlad Mitlin	3Com-72-1(3292TDCUSP)	5636
7265	7590 07/07/2004		EXAMI	NER
MICHAELSON AND WALLACE			LAMARRI	E, GUY J
PARKWAY 1	109 OFFICE CENTER			D. 100 (100 (100)
328 NEWMAN SPRINGS RD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX 848	9		2133	,
RED BANK,	NJ 07701	<b>.</b>	DATE MAILED: 07/07/200/	. A

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/741,636	MILTIN ET AL.	_			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Guy J. Lamarre, P.E.	2133				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of vill apply and will expire SIX (6) No cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 A</u>	Noril 2004					
2a)□		is action is non-final.					
3)□	,—		actions proposition as to the mosts:	!a			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b>							
_	Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	• '						
·	∑ Claim(s) <u>1-65</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
9)□ .	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	г.					
10) 🗌 .	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.				
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
11)[2]	The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>05 Ap</u>		ed b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	<b>2(s)</b>						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
<del></del>							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

- 1. This office action is in response to Applicants' Amendment of <u>05 April 2004</u>.
- 1.1 Claims 1-65 remain pending.
- 1.2 The objections of record are <u>withdrawn</u> in response to Applicants' Amendment.
- 1.3 The indicated allowability of claims 1-65 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s), e.g., patent # 6,732,323 and PG Pub # 2002/0108081 (co-pending patent application # 09/741,639) to Mitlin et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## **Double Patenting (non-statutory)**

2. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438,164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985) and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 3 7 CFR 1. 3 2 1 (b) and may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2.1 Claim(s) 1-11 of patent # 6,732,323 contain(s) every element of claim(s) 8-17, 21-23, 24-27 of the instant application and as such anticipate(s) claim(s) 8-17, 21-27 and apparatus claims 54-56, 57-60, 61-65 of the instant application.

...

Application/Control Number: 09/741,636

Art Unit: 2133

- 2.1.1 "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).
- 2.2 The listed instant Claims are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over respective listed Claims of US PG Pub # 2002/0108081(co-pending patent application # 09/741,639). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

In addition, since the copending claims are being examined in class 375/subclass224, applicant is advised to submit instant claims for examination in same class 375/subclass224 and cancel such claims in instant application to avoid duplicate examinations and related costs associated therewith or formal restriction formulation.

Copending claims	<b>Instant Claims</b>
18	1-4, 18-20
20-24	28-32
25, 42-43	5-7, 37-39
49	51-53
42-43	33-36
44-48	61-65
49	38-39
50-55	40-50

**2.2.1** This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting.

Application/Control Number: 09/741,636

Art Unit: 2133

raj , ilia

Conclusion

Page 3 of 3

3. Acknowledgement is made that Claims 1-65 are under examination. Applicant is invited to

contact Examiner for possible claim language or other resolution that may expedite prosecution of instant

application.

3.1 Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306 for all formal communications.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington,

VA, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Guy J. Lamarre, P.E., whose telephone number is (703) 305-0755. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert

De Cady, can be reached on (703) 305-9595.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is

available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Guy J. Lamarre, P.E

guy f. Lamarre

**Primary Examiner** 

6/27/04